Characterization of neutron detectors for nuclear technology applications

T. Martinez CIEMAT (Madrid)



EFNUDAT-Slow Neutrons, Budapest (Hungary) 23-25/09/09

<u>Outline:</u>

- Context and Motivation
- Experimental Details
- Status of the Analysis
- Preliminary Results
- Conclusions

Motivation

Improvement of nuclear data for transmutation of radioactive waste (MA and LLFP)

Design, safety and operation of fast reactors and ADS rely on Improved accuracy of nuclear data libraries.

- Neutron cross-section data (capture, fission, inelastic)
- Decay heat data, Delayed neutron data

Uncertainty reduction achieved development of facilities and improvement of detection techniques.

Facilities:

n_TOF@CERN (n cross-section data on Np, Pu, Bi, Pb ...) FAIR @ GSI radioactive beams available to study neutron rich nuclei (neutron emission probabilities)

Detection techniques:

n_TOF spectrometer Total Absorption Calorimeter (TAC) New scintillation materials (LaCl3, LaBr3) DAQ systems (flash ADC)

DESPEC experiment (Nustar collaboration) @ FAIR

Beta-delayed neutron emitters.

Radioactive beams will allow to populate nuclei produced in the fission reaction process in reactors.

Beta decay properties and neutron emission probability should be studied with high accuracy.



-Delayed neutron data: absolute neutron yield, neutron energy spectra, time dependence with activity.

- High efficiency 4π moderated-based detector (V. Gorlychev talk's)
- <u>n_ToF spectrometer</u>

Neutron TOF spectrometer

Requirements:

High ε_n (large solid angle) Improved $\Delta E/E$, (thin detectors) Lowest threshold, (down to 30 keVee?) n- γ discrimination, (reduced background) cross-talk rejection (high granularity)

Liquid organic scintillator cells Develop MonteCarlo simulations of prototype

Measurements of characterization:

Light output calibration function for electrons and protons, L=f(E)

Energy resolution $\Delta L/L(\%)$ as a function of energy deposited

Absolute neutron sensitivity and detection efficiency Comparison with MonteCarlo simulation

Performance of DAQ systems (flash ADC)





Transnational Access to the EFNUDAT facilities program

PTB: Production of mono-energetic neutron reference fields





Beam Time with Cyclotron and Van de Graaf accelerator at PTB Test of liquid and inorganic scintillators PAC 2 /1 approved 80h beam time D(d,n), Li(p,n) and T(p,n) reactions provide mono-energetic neutrons Variable fluences and distances Experiment performed 9-13 March

Measurement at VDG



Van de Graaff:

- Li(p,n) reaction, E_n= 0.144, 0.250, 0.565 MeV
- T(p,n) reaction, $E_n = 1.2$, 2.5 MeV
- Pulsed beam (1.25 MHz) for ToF background reduction
- Detector position, Flight path of L=1 2 m

Measurement at Cyclotron



BC501A Ø20cm×5cm



Cyclotron:

•D(d,n) reaction, gas target, E_n = 8, 10, 12, 14 MeV

•Pulsed beam mode, blocking system for 0.8-0.9 MHz (spurious pulses)

•Detector position, flight path of L=10.5 m

The data acquisition system

Evaluate the performance of DAQ system

Digital System: •Flash-ADC •ACQIRIS DC271 8bits 1GS/s

Analogue System:VME basedADC, QDC, TDC modules

Trigger:

Detector (digital) Detector+pulser (analogue)

Dead Time correction:

Digital scaler board NI (read / write mode) Precision pulser 100Hz

Total of 2TB of data





The digital system





Settings:

ACQIRIS:

Signals digitized over $1\mu s$ when trigger 5000 triggers each iteration

NI Board:

Monitoring of counting rates from Detector, Accelerator and Neutron monitor detectors during ACQ reading/writing mode

	FS c1	FS c3
VdG	1V	500mV
Сус	2V	1V

Parameters

Digital System:

Pulse Shape Routine:

- Baseline
- Amplitude
- A_{TOT} (220 ns)
- A_{DEL} (180 ns)
- Time signal

Analog System:

List mode parameters: - QDC gates: - A_{TOT} (370 ns) - A_{DEL} (250 ns) -TDC: - Detector Time - Acc Signal Time BC501 Pulse shape





Analysis Procedure:

Light output Response Funtion

- Energy calibration, resolution function
- Dead-time corrections,
- TOF event selection, n- γ discrimination and background contribution, ...
- Normalization to neutron fluence
- MC simulation comparison

Eficiency by comparison with absolute calibrated detector (PTB)

Energy Calibrations

Standard γ sources: ¹³⁷Cs, ²²Na, ²⁰⁷Bi, Am/Be (²⁴¹Am)

$$L = k (E_e - 5.0)$$
 $E_e > 40 keV \& k=1$





MC Calibrations







Dead Time

∆T between consecutive triggers



Sources of count losses:

ACQIRIS not active \rightarrow T digitization 1µs +Trigger Rearm of < 800ns Pulsed beam (0.8 - 1.2 MHz) \rightarrow Arriving pulse after detected is not registered

Routine Efficiency: 1 pulse for each segment, probability of loss other counts in the same segment

Pile-up, loss of events occurred within <50ns (Only in the case of 2500keV with a value of 4%)



EXP (ns)

MC (ns)

6.0

5.6

5.5

5.6

2.4

3.4

2.8

3.4

1.6

3.0



2.9

2.5

2.8

2.7

2.7

2.6

4.3

2.8

VdG Energies

Cyclotron Energies

n/γ discrimination



Gamma contribution is lower than 2 orders of magnitude. A 2-dim cut has been aplied to select neutrons

Preliminary Results

VdG data. Normalized to the neutron fluence (calibration factors)



HardwareThreshold CFD ~8 mV → Th ~ 40keVee (???!!!

Limits of the Pulse Shape Routine



→ Routine with fit to averaged shape





600









Cyclotron data.

Normalized to an estimated neutron fluence (not calibrated data yet)



Problem with calibration ??!!!

Simulation depends on light parametrization







Detection Efficiency



Conclusions:

We have characterized a liquid organic scintillator BC501A for DESPEC experiment at PTB. We have explore the region between 144 keV and 14MeV Lower energies data are on the limits \rightarrow test for pulse shape routines Light Response shape needs to be modeled with more accuracy

Digital DAQ data and VME data show discrepancies Data analysis is still on going

Next work: Data analysis from PTB calibration detectors VdG and Cyclotron Modified pulse shape routine (pile-up) Improved MC simulation

PARTICIPANTS:

- D. Cano-Ott, C. Guerrero, T. Martinez, E. Mendoza, MC. Ovejero, E. Reillo and D. Villamarin CIEMAT (Madrid)
- J. Agramunt, A. Algora, M.D. Jordan and J.L. Tain IFIC (Valencia)
- M. Mosconi and R. Nolte PTB (Braunschweig)
- A. Gottardo and J.J. Valiente LNL-INFN (Legnaro)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

EFNUDAT program,

PTB staff,

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion, Spanish Government

ENRESA

Thanks for your attention!

The ToF spectrometer

Neutron Time Of Flight Spectrometer



Flight path: ~75cm distance from the implantation position $\Delta\Omega/\Omega$ ~13%

The calibration of the complete spectrometer will be made in-place with on-line and off-line neutron sources.

Coincident β -n and β - γ -n events (for the most intense transitions).

Start signal: plastic β-detector in close geometry. Stop signal: liquid scintillator.

The γ -ray background in the neutron detectors will be rejected by time of flight (for prompt coincident gammas) and by pulse shape discrimination.

n - γ discrimination



True pulse shape from averaged signals (neutron and gamma) Fitting 1param (amplitude) to both signals, calculating the χ^2 Guerrero et al. NIMA 597(2008)212



Charge Integration method



Integrate the signal in two ranges, total area and delayed area Plot Atot vs Adel or Atot vs Afast

